

Name:

Institution:

Professor:

Date:

### Canadian Electoral System: Article Review

The viewpoints provided regarding how societal systems significantly differ from the electoral system in Canada is very helpful. The article presents clear and interesting objectives that gives a true representative view of the inner workings of the Canadian electoral system. This is the main reason why this topic is worth analyzing, examining, and commenting on ways that might improve the Canadian electoral system's operations. A thorough analysis of this topic could positively impact marginalized societal groups such as those found in societies that are misunderstood and mistreated by Canadian politics, which is particularly true for aboriginal women. For example, as the article states, placing values on such societal groups would certainly be considered an alternative behavior of the nation.

In addition, the article's introduction is noteworthy, as it gives an explanation of Canada's voting process and points out its weakness, particularly regarding negligence or the sidelining of societal values. Furthermore, the conclusion of the article is significant it offers a relevant and clear outline of how societal values are neglected by Canadian politics.

However, the article does have some weaknesses in the area of how it flows. For instance, the thesis statement could use a little bit of work. It reads more like a breakdown of the objectives rather than a one-sentence statement. This renders the article's purpose somewhat unclear when it should be more specific. Additionally, the way the article is organized lacks effectiveness to some degree. For example, it seem to have lost focus after the introduction. It is

important to remember that readers expect an analysis of how political practices have marginalized (or down played) societal values. This part of the article has a shallow explanation that is seen in the last paragraphs. There is also too much explanation about types of voting systems, and this makes your thesis fade a bit until the conclusion. Poor article organization can be misleading to readers because your objectives may not be as clear.

In addition, it is important to ensure the reader is clear on the meaning of terms used in the article. For instance, the article should clearly explain the two electoral systems so that the readers understand them and are able to make rational comparisons. The first system (FPTP) is explained; however, there is no clear understanding of the other system (PR). Other significant terms in the article also need further clarification and include:

- Riding-by- riding
- Pluralism system
- Aboriginal
- Consistent alternative

It is correct to compare the voting system in Canada with other countries' systems; however, the article overemphasizes this, which overshadows the stated objectives. Therefore, it would be better to delete some of the comparisons and including other vital aspects such as the following:

- The significance of a democratic electoral system
- The consequences of electoral malpractices, particularly when society values are disregarded
- Recommendations and suggestions on how to achieve the objectives in the article other than regarding the voting systems

- Examples of alternative Canadian voting systems and reasons for preferences

It is also important to consider all the highlights of the article before writing the conclusion. This helps to engulf points that run through the article. The conclusion should also distinctly express the applicability of the explained sample area to any other country that may be facing similar problems.